Date(s) of Assessment:	Project:	
Assessor(s):	Review Examined:	
		-

		Y , N,	F,O	Comments
		NA		
REV	IEW PREPARATION			
1	Have standards been identified to			
	clearly define this process?			
2	Were guidelines used to prepare for			
	the process?			
3	Has the project submitted any request			
	for deviations or waivers to the			
	defined process?			
4	Have entrance and exit criteria been			
	established for the implementation of			
	this process?			
5	Have all parties involved in the			
	implementation of the assessed			
	process received training on the			
	process?			
FCA	PRIMARY OBJECTIVE			
6	Has the FCA verified that the			
	configuration item has achieved the			
	requirements specified in its			
	functional baseline documentation and			
	identified /recorded any discrepancies.			
FCA	ENTRY CRITERIA			
7	Have the following artifacts been			
	made available for review prior to the			
	FCA:			
7a	System/Software Requirements			
	Specification?			
7b	System/Software qualification test			
	procedures, test results, and			
	requirements traceability matrix			
	(RTM)?			

Revision: 2.0 Page 1 of 4

Y=Yes, N=No, NA=Not Applicable, F=Finding, O=Observation

For more information, please visit the NASA GSFC Software Assurance Website, at http://sw-assurance.gsfc.nasa.gov.

		Y, N, NA	F,O	Comments
7c	The qualified system and component source code?			
7d	Software Change Requests (SCRs) that were implemented into the system and component source code?			
7e	Software Version Description Document?			
7f	System/Software Users Manual/Guide?			
8	Has analysis or simulation been accomplished for the performance parameters that couldn't be completely verified during testing?			
9	If the analysis or simulations were performed, were the results sufficient to insure that the performance of the configuration item is compliant with the specification?			
10	Is there evidence that all system/software requirements can be traced to the source code, test procedures, and test results?			
11	Was system/software testing completed?			
12	Were all requirements verified?			
13	For those requirements that have not been verified or failed verification, has additional testing been scheduled (e.g., regression testing)?			
FCA	EXIT CRITERIA			
14	Were all FCA entry criteria found to be acceptable?			
15	Were all FCA discrepancies recorded and addressed during the audit debriefing?			
16	Were there any FCA findings that remain open at the conclusion of this process? If so, have plans been made to verify the corrective actions at a later date?			
17	Have all associated documentation been placed under CM control in accordance with the CM Plan?			

Revision: 2.0 Page 2 of 4

Y=Yes, N=No, NA=Not Applicable, F=Finding, O=Observation

For more information, please visit the NASA GSFC Software Assurance Website, at http://sw-assurance.gsfc.nasa.gov.

FCA I	POST AUDIT ACTIVITIES			
18	Did all designated stakeholders concur in the acceptability of the FCA?			
19	Were there any Lessons Learned documented at the completion of this process?			
REFERENCE ITEMS/DOCUMENTS				
LaRC Software Engineering Process Guidebook – Software Configuration Management				
MIL-STD-1521 B (USAF) MILITARY STANDARD TECHNICAL REVIEWS AND AUDITS FOR SYSTEMS, EQUIPMENTS, AND COMPUTER SOFTWARE				

Revision: 2.0 Page 3 of 4

		Project: Review Examined:
	5501(5).	_ Review Examined.
COM	IMENTS PAGE of	
#	Comments from assessment	

Revision: 2.0 Page 4 of 4

Y=Yes, N=No, NA=Not Applicable, F=Finding, O=Observation

For more information, please visit the NASA GSFC Software Assurance Website, at http://sw-assurance.gsfc.nasa.gov.